

ENVIRONMENT, TRANSPORT & SUSTAINABILITY URGENCY SUB-COMMITTEE ADDENDUM

11.00AM, TUESDAY, 10 AUGUST 2021

HOVE TOWN HALL - COUNCIL CHAMBER

Agendas and minutes are published on the council's website www.brighton-hove.gov.uk. Agendas are available to view five working days prior to the meeting date.

Electronic agendas can also be accessed through our meetings app available through [ModernGov: iOS/Windows/Android](#)

This agenda and all accompanying reports are printed on recycled paper

ADDENDUM

ITEM		Page
3	PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT	3 - 4
	(c) Deputation from Brighton Active Travel. Spokesperson Max Glaskin.	

TRANSPORT & PUBLIC REALM MATTERS

5	ACTIVE TRAVEL FUND - OLD SHOREHAM ROAD	5 - 16
	(1) Additional Information Report of the Executive Director for Economy, Environment & Culture.	
	(2) Amendment from the Labour Group. Proposed by Councillor Wilkinson	
	(3) Amendment from the Conservative Group. Proposed by Councillor Nemeth.	

DEPUTATIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

A period of not more than fifteen minutes shall be allowed at each ordinary meeting of the Council for the hearing of deputations from members of the public. Each deputation may be heard for a maximum of five minutes following which one Member of the Council, nominated by the Mayor, may speak in response. It shall then be moved by the Mayor and voted on without discussion that the spokesperson for the deputation be thanked for attending and its subject matter noted.

Notification of one Deputation has been received. The spokesperson is entitled to speak for 5 minutes.

(1) Deputation concerning Active Travel: Spokesperson – Max Glaskin

Supported by:

Lisa Creagh
Maggie Chamberlain
James Taylor
Chris Todd
Dani Ahrens

Ward affected: All

Deputation concerning Actie Travel: Spokesperson - Max Glaskin

Thank you for receiving this Deputation from Brighton Active Travel, a non-party political umbrella organisation for groups and individuals who want Brighton & Hove to be better for everybody who walks, uses wheelchairs and rides bicycles.

This small sub-committee has to make a decision that could impact everyone in the city for decades. Before it does, we would like answers to three questions.

First, if the Council removes the Old Shoreham Road temporary cycle lanes it will be more dangerous for anyone who would like to ride a bicycle in the north west of the city. There is no direct, safe alternative route nearby that can serve the schools with their six thousand pupils.

How would making the road more dangerous get more people walking and cycling? If the Council removes the Old Shoreham Road temporary cycle lane it will lose millions of pounds in funding. The Government says so. Already it's withholding more than a quarter of a million pounds that would otherwise help hard-working families, disabled people, women and children. Next, we'll lose access to millions for making the seafront, London Road, Lewes Road and Western Road safer. The Government could even install commissioners to take transport out of the hands of all elected councillors.

How would Councillors plug the funding gap triggered by removing the Old Shoreham Road cycle lanes when the Government stops its money?

Finally, it's time to stop spreading myths. Journey times have not got worse. There is not evidence that pollution has got worse. The electorate has not voted to remove the cycle lanes.

Only 11% of the people who responded to the consultation were under 35, that's just one in every nine of the city's population. But they account for a whopping 50%, that's one in every two people in the city. Their views were massively under-represented. What's more these are the people more likely to want safe and direct routes to ride bicycles because they are younger, fitter and have less access to private cars.

So, will Councillors help everyone in this city, including the half whose voices have barely been heard, to have a wider choice of safe, easy, attractive and direct ways to travel by retaining the Old Shoreham Road temporary cycle lanes?

Brighton Active Travel

ACTIVE TRAVEL FUND – OLD SHOREHAM ROAD

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION – OFFICER REPORT

Date of Meeting:	10 August 2021		
Report of:	Executive Director – Economy, Environment & Culture		
Contact Officer:	Name:	Mark Prior	Tel: 01273 292095
	Email:	mark.prior@brighton-hove.gov.uk	
Ward(s) affected:	All		

FOR GENERAL RELEASE

By reason of the special circumstances, and in accordance with section 100B(4)(b) of the 1972 Act, the Chair of the meeting has been consulted and is of the opinion that this item should be considered at the meeting as a matter of urgency for the following reason, it provides additional information to assist Members with their consideration of the item in question.

Note: The special circumstances for non-compliance with Council Procedure Rule 3, Access to Information Procedure Rule 5 and Section 100B(4) of the Local Government Act 1972 (as amended), (items not considered unless the agenda is open to inspection at least five days in advance of the meeting) were that the information was not available at the time of publication.

1. Information

Government

1.1 The following information has been provided as supplementary information to the report listed at Item 5, on the Agenda - Active Travel Fund – Old Shoreham Road. It is intended to assist the sub-committee with their consideration of the matter.

1.2 Detailed below is the information on the GovUk web page:

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/capability-fund-local-transport-authority-allocations/capability-fund-local-transport-authority-allocations>

Coronavirus (COVID-19) Guidance and support

1. [Home](#)
 2. [Transport](#)
 3. [Driving and road transport](#)
 4. [Cycling and walking](#)
 5. [Capability fund: local transport authority allocations](#)
- [Department for Transport](#)

Combined authorities

Name	Final allocation
Cambridgeshire and Peterborough CA	Further assurances are required before funding is confirmed.
Greater Manchester CA	£2,876,601
Liverpool City Region CA	£1,897,488 (the total amount for Liverpool City Region is subject to further discussions in respect of Liverpool City Council schemes)
North East JTC	£2,157,597
Sheffield City Region CA	£1,091,400
Tees Valley CA	£1,362,012
West Midlands ITA	£1,911,316
West of England CA	£659,895
West Yorkshire CA	£1,351,341

Local authorities

Name	Final allocation
Bedford UA	£208,906
Blackburn with Darwen UA	£272,528
Blackpool UA	£346,958
Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole UA	£247,354
Bracknell Forest UA	Further assurances are required before funding is confirmed.

Name	Final allocation
Brighton and Hove UA	Further assurances are required before funding is confirmed.
Buckinghamshire	£111,197
Central Bedfordshire UA	Further assurances are required before funding is confirmed.
Cheshire East UA	£170,615
Cheshire West and Chester UA	£203,218
Cornwall UA	£219,558
Cumbria	£254,165
Derby UA	£270,025
Derbyshire	£238,031
Devon	£216,251
Dorset	£118,656
East Riding of Yorkshire UA	£196,398
East Sussex	£219,774
Essex	£352,259
Gloucestershire	Further assurances are required before funding is confirmed.
Hampshire	£324,492
Herefordshire, County of UA	£144,636
Hertfordshire	£313,459
Isle of Wight UA	£175,662
Isles of Scilly UA	Further assurances are required before funding is confirmed.
Kent	£387,540
Kingston upon Hull, City of UA	£411,660

Name	Final allocation
Lancashire	£395,398
Leicester UA	£364,698
Leicestershire	Further assurances are required before funding is confirmed.
Lincolnshire	£307,513
Luton UA	£231,504
Medway UA	£232,107
Milton Keynes UA	£195,897
Norfolk	£319,871
North East Lincolnshire UA	£338,195
North Lincolnshire UA	£193,537
North Northamptonshire	£155,232
North Somerset UA	£123,173
North Yorkshire	£207,683
Nottingham UA	£400,432
Nottinghamshire	£276,845
Oxfordshire	£236,733
Plymouth UA	£297,948
Portsmouth UA	Further assurances are required before funding is confirmed.
Reading UA	£249,454
Rutland UA	£48,700
Shropshire UA	Further assurances are required before funding is confirmed.
Slough UA	£240,996
Somerset	£190,144

Name	Final allocation
Southampton UA	£329,024
Southend-on-Sea UA	£255,010
Staffordshire	£252,386
Stoke-on-Trent UA	£273,138
Suffolk	£248,863
Surrey	£279,756
Swindon UA	£236,212
Telford and Wrekin UA	£181,697
Thurrock UA	Further assurances are required before funding is confirmed.
Torbay UA	Further assurances are required before funding is confirmed.
Warrington UA	£227,763
Warwickshire	£213,276
West Berkshire UA	£88,127
West Northamptonshire	£155,232
West Sussex	Further assurances are required before funding is confirmed.
Wiltshire UA	£147,692
Windsor and Maidenhead UA	Further assurances are required before funding is confirmed.
Wokingham UA	£94,481
Worcestershire	Further assurances are required before funding is confirmed.
York UA	£180,054

- 1.3 The following verbal and email communication from the Department for Transport illustrates Ministers concerns relating to the potential removal of Old Shoreham Road Cycle Lanes.

From: Kaylisha Archer <Kaylisha.Archer@dft.gov.uk>
Sent: 30 July 2021 15:02
To: Alex Voce <Alex.Voce@brighton-hove.gov.uk>
Cc: Rupert Furness <Rupert.Furness@dft.gov.uk>; Richard Mace <Richard.Mace@dft.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: Capability Fund allocation Brighton & Hove

This email originates from outside of Brighton & Hove City Council. Please think carefully before opening attachments or clicking on links.

Hi Alex,

Thanks very much for getting in touch. Just tried calling you.

Not to worry you haven't missed anything, and just as a heads up: we expect to write to your authority further on this next week to set out next steps on the additional assurances we will require before funding can be confirmed. For further context, there are concerns around an ATF scheme we understand has been removed in the Brighton area.

Hope this helps and do let me know if you have any questions.

Many thanks
Kaylisha

Kaylisha Archer | Head of Behaviour Change Projects, Active Travel, Department for Transport
3rd Floor | 07816 088922 | 07816088922
Post to: Great Minster Hse, 33 Horseferry Rd, London, SW1P 4DR
From: Alex Voce <Alex.Voce@brighton-hove.gov.uk>
Sent: 30 July 2021 14:26
To: Kaylisha Archer <Kaylisha.Archer@dft.gov.uk>
Subject: Capability Fund allocation Brighton & Hove

Hi Kaylisha,

Great to see the announcement today. I noticed in the Capability Fund allocations document that we are one of the LAs you are waiting for further assurances on before confirming our allocation for this grant.

Just wanted to check whether you have requested additional information from us already or are about to? It hasn't come to me but could be another colleague is handling.

Best wishes,

Alex

Alex Voce
Senior Project Manager
Transport Projects & Engineering
[Brighton & Hove City Council](#)
Telephone 01273 295795 | **Mobile** 07751215884

Our customer promise to you

We will make it clear how you can contact or access our services | We will understand and get things done | We will be clear and treat you with respect

- 1.4 Also below is a tweet from Andrew Gilligan, the Prime Minister’s advisor, regarding Brighton & Hove’s intentions to remove OSR Cycle Lanes.

← **Tweet**

 **Andrew Gilligan** @mragilligan

We have halted funding, pending discussions, to councils which have prematurely removed schemes. These include Brighton, Liverpool, West Sussex. London councils have had similar letters from TfL.

 Capability fund: local transport authority allocations
Funding amounts to local transport authorities outside London from the capability fund. Funds support staffing and...
[gov.uk](#)

2:03 PM · Jul 30, 2021 · Twitter Web App

166 Retweets **186** Quote Tweets **678** Likes

   

LABOUR GROUP AMENDMENT

ACTIVE TRAVEL FUND – OLD SHOREHAM ROAD

That the deletions are made as shown in strikethrough below, and that additions are made as shown in ***bold italics*** below.

RECOMMENDATIONS:-

- ~~2.1 That the Committee notes the newly published statutory guidance, published under Section 18 of the Traffic Management Act, in relation to the removal of temporary lanes as summarised above in paragraph 1.3 of this report.~~
- 2.1 That the Committee agrees to the removal of the Phase 1 temporary cycle lanes on the Old Shoreham Road; and**
- 2.2 That the Committee instructs Council officers to urgently develop and provide committee members with proposed criteria for any further consultations and data reporting in relation to future active travel measures, in order to demonstrate empirical evidence to support balanced analysis.**
- ~~2.2 The Committee notes the government's decision to withhold £277,520 of Capability Funding that the Council had successfully bid for due to the committee's decision on the 21st July 2021 to seek a report to consider the removal of the Old Shoreham Road Cycle Lane Phase 1 as summarised in paragraphs 4.6 and 4.7 of this report.~~
- ~~2.3 That the committee agree to retain the Phase 1 temporary cycle lane on Old Shoreham Road due to the negative impact its removal would have on road safety, the environment, equality, and wider funding opportunities. This would also allow for appropriate monitoring to take place as set with the newly updated statutory guidance issued under section 18 of the Traffic Management Act 2004.~~
- ~~2.4 That the Committee agrees to progress the development of improvements to the Phase 1 Old Shoreham Road Cycle Lane as a temporary scheme (from The Drive to Hangleton Road) as set out in Appendix 2, including temporary changes to increase vehicle capacity at the Olive Road / Stapley Road junction. Committee also agrees that further monitoring is undertaken in accordance with the newly updated statutory guidance issued under section 18 of the Traffic Management Act 2004.~~
- ~~2.5 In the event that the committee seeks to remove Phase 1, that the committee agrees to retain the section of Phase 1 between Holmes Avenue and The Drive for the reasons set out in the report and that further monitoring is undertaken in accordance with the newly updated statutory guidance issued under section 18 of Traffic Management Act 2004.~~
- ~~2.6 In the event that the Committee agrees to retain the scheme that Officers begin~~

~~further monitoring of the scheme, to be reported back at a future committee.~~

Proposed by: Cllr Wilkinson

Seconded by: Cllr Nemeth

Recommendations to read if carried:

- 2.1 That the Committee agrees to the removal of the Phase 1 temporary cycle lanes on the Old Shoreham Road; and
- 2.2 That the Committee instructs Council officers to urgently develop and provide committee members with proposed criteria for any further consultations and data reporting in relation to future active travel measures, in order to demonstrate empirical evidence to support balanced analysis.

CONSERVATIVE GROUP AMENDMENT

ACTIVE TRAVEL FUNDING

That the deletions are made as shown in strikethrough and a new recommendation 2.1 as shown in bold italics is inserted as shown below:

RECOMMENDATIONS:-

- ~~2.1 That the Committee notes the newly published statutory guidance, published under Section 18 of the Traffic Management Act, in relation to the removal of temporary lanes as summarised above in paragraph 1.3 of this report.~~
- ~~2.2 The Committee notes the government's decision to withhold £277,520 of Capability Funding that the Council had successfully bid for due to the committee's decision on the 21st July 2021 to seek a report to consider the removal of the Old Shoreham Road Cycle Lane Phase 1 as summarised in paragraphs 4.6 and 4.7 of this report.~~
- ~~2.3 That the committee agree to retain the Phase 1 temporary cycle lane on Old Shoreham Road due to the negative impact its removal would have on road safety, the environment, equality, and wider funding opportunities. This would also allow for appropriate monitoring to take place as set with the newly updated statutory guidance issued under section 18 of the Traffic Management Act 2004.~~
- ~~2.4 That the Committee agrees to progress the development of improvements to the Phase 1 Old Shoreham Road Cycle Lane as a temporary scheme (from The Drive to Hangleton Road) as set out in Appendix 2, including temporary changes to increase vehicle capacity at the Olive Road / Stapley Road junction. Committee also agrees that further monitoring is undertaken in accordance with the newly updated statutory guidance issued under section 18 of the Traffic Management Act 2004.~~
- ~~2.5 In the event that the committee seeks to remove Phase 1, that the committee agrees to retain the section of Phase 1 between Holmes Avenue and The Drive for the reasons set out in the report and that further monitoring is undertaken in accordance with the newly updated statutory guidance issued under section 18 of Traffic Management Act 2004.~~
- 2.1 That having regard to all relevant considerations, the Committee resolves to remove the Phase 1 Old Shoreham Road Cycle Lane and instructs officers to take all steps necessary or incidental to the removal of the cycle lane as soon as reasonably practicable.***

Proposed by: Cllr Nemeth

Seconded by: Cllr Wilkinson

Recommendation to read if carried:

2.1 That having regard to all relevant considerations, the Committee resolves to remove the Phase 1 Old Shoreham Road Cycle Lane and instructs officers to take all steps necessary or incidental to the removal of the cycle lane as soon as reasonably practicable.